May 20, 2013

Re: My Statements in the Case of Arnold and Jesse Friedman

Dear Friedman Case Review Panel:

In 1987, I attended computer classes taught by Arnold Friedman. On May 7th of this year I reviewed, for the first time, indictment #67104 (the first of a series of indictments against Arnold and Jesse Friedman). I was informed by attorney Ronald L. Kuby that I am identified in that indictment using the pseudonym "Kenneth Doe." I reviewed all of the accusations allegedly made by Kenneth Doe.

I write to inform you that none of the events allegedly described by or attributed to Kenneth Doe ever took place. Arnold Friedman did not contact my anus with his penis, I was not witness to Jesse Friedman taking any photographs of anything, I engaged in no sexual performances, neither Arnold nor Jesse ever touched my penis, Arnold did not show me magazines containing pictures of naked people, and I never showed my penis to Arnold or Jesse Friedman. During the time that I was present in computer classes, I did not observe Arnold or Jesse Freidman engage in anything even remotely akin to sexual conduct, and I have no reason to believe such events occurred.

I recall clearly that police investigators came to my home repeatedly to question me about what had happened in the computer classes. The police repeatedly told me that they knew something had happened, and they would not leave until I told them. As I result, I guess

I just folded so they would leave me alone. I recall being taken somewhere and being videotaped while I repeated these untruthful statements. After the film *Capturing the Friedmans* came out, I went to see it with my wife, The descriptions given about the police tactics used to extract statements rang true for me.

Over the past twenty-five years, I have occasionally thought about the Friedman case, but it was simply a blip on my radar screen. I had feelings about what happened, but it was not a priority for me. I did not know what role, if any, I played in the case, but I knew that I never went to Court and provided live testimony.

I have always maintained my world of work and family separate from what happened in the Friedman case, although I have discussed it over the years with my wife. These two worlds came into collision earlier this month, when someone delivered to my place of work a copy

However, because I wanted to make certain that there were no further intrusions into my work or family life with this matter, I contacted attorney Ronald L. Kuby with this request. In the course of the conversation, I informed Mr. Kuby of the facts that I have relayed here, and he urged me to come forward in whatever fashion I felt comfortable, and explain what had happened to the Case Review Panel.

Mr. Kuby assured me that my name would not appear in any public report or in any publicly accessible document. I am relying on that assurance. Moreover, because my legal status is still that of a victim of "sexual abuse," although this is factually not correct, I rely on the provisions of Civil Rights Law§50-b(2) to the extent that it prevents you from disclosing my identity publicly. Obviously, this provision does not extend to Mr. Kuby, and you are free to discuss this letter, and the process leading up to it, with him. I do not wish to be contacted by you, or anyone else related to this case, except to the limited extent that you

need to confirm my identity. In such case, you may use the email address above.

I am providing this statement in reliance on the understanding that my name will not be used in any public way whatsoever, though the material in this letter may be used without inclusion of my name or identifying elements, and without personal attribution to me.

Sincerely,